

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

27195

7590

02/19/2004

AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMIN	IER
SINGH, RA	CHNA
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2176	21
ATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	01

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,527	07/30/1999	ERIC HORVITZ	1018.025US1	9577

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD FOR AUTOMATICALLY ASSIGNING PRIORITIES TO DOCUMENTS AND MESSAGES

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1330	\$0	\$1330	05/19/2004

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status is changed, pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above and notify the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the change in status, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or
- B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check the box below and enclose the PUBLICATION FEE and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.
- ☐ Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
- II. PART B FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.



Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (703) 746-4000

INSTRUCTIONS: This for appropriate. All further con indicated unless corrected be maintenance fee notification	m should be used for trans respondence including the P selow or directed otherwise is.	mitting the ISSU atent, advance or in Block 1, by (a	E FEE and PUBLI ders and notification) specifying a new	CATION FEE (if remains of maintenance fees correspondence address	quired). Blocks I through 4 s s will be mailed to the current ss; and/or (b) indicating a sep-	hould be completed when correspondence address a arate "FEE ADDRESS" fo	
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Legibly mark-up with any corrections or use Block 1)			use Block 1)	Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of th Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanyin papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, mushave its own certificate of mailing or transmission.			
	90 02/19/2004			have its own certific	ate of mailing or transmission.	6,	
AMIN & TUROC 24TH FLOOR, NA 1900 EAST NINTH CLEVELAND, OH	TIONAL CITY CENT I STREET	ER		I hereby certify that States Postal Service addressed to the M	Certificate of Mailing or Trans this Fee(s) Transmittal is bein e with sufficient postage for fit fail Stop ISSUE FEE address SPTO, on the date indicated be	g deposited with the Unite est class mail in an envelop above, or being facsimil	
022 122 1112, 011						(Depositor's name	
						(Signature	
		•				(Date	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/364,527	07/30/1999		ERIC HORVIT	7.	1018.025US1	9577	
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FI	EE F	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE	
nonprovisional	NO	\$1330		\$0	\$1330	05/19/2004	
EXAM	INED	ART UN	<u> </u>	CLASS-SUBCLASS	٦		
L		·	l l				
SINGH, R	RACHNA	2176		707-514000			
 Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363). Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached. □ "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. 		orrespondence	names of up to agents OR, alter firm (having as agent) and the r	n the patent front page 3 registered patent natively, (2) the nam a member a registere names of up to 2 regists. If no name is lis	attorneys or I		
3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND	RESIDENCE DATA TO BE	E PRINTED ON T	THE PATENT (print	or type)			
PLEASE NOTE: Unless	an assignee is identified belo	ow, no assignee de ubmitted under se	ata will appear on the parate cover. Compl	ne patent. Inclusion of	f assignee data is only appropri OT a substitute for filing an ass COUNTRY)	ate when an assignment ha signment.	
Please check the appropriate	assignee category or categor	ies (will not be pr	inted on the patent);	☐ individual 〔	corporation or other private g	roup entity 🚨 governmen	
4a. The following fee(s) are	enclosed:	4b	. Payment of Fee(s)		*		
☐ Issue Fee			☐ A check in the a	mount of the fee(s) is	enclosed.		
Publication Fee			Payment by cred	it card. Form PTO-20	38 is attached.		
☐ Advance Order - # of	Copics		☐ The Director is Deposit Account N	hereby authorized by umber	charge the required fec(s), or (enclose an extra	credit any overpayment, topy of this form).	
Director for Patents is reques	sted to apply the Issue Fee an	d Publication Fee			d issue fee to the application ide	entified above.	
(Authorized Signature)		(Date)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	d Publication Fee (if require a registered attorney or age cords of the United States Pat tion is required by 37 CFR by the public which is to fil						

obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,527	07/30/1999	ERIC HORVITZ	1018.025US1	9577
27195	7590 02/19/2004		EXAM	INER
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER		SINGH, RACHNA		
1900 EAST'NI		X.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		2176	0.1
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	1 21

Determination of Patent Term Extension under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed after June 7, 1995 but prior to May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Extension is 0 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the 0 day extension on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Extension is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1383. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/364,527 Examiner	HORVITZ, ERIC	
•			
	Rachna Singh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED i) or other appropriate comn RIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course.	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/20/04</u> .			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>36</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 20 January 2004 are accepted by t	he Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents and International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat	on No	n the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	" of this communication to fi MENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the requireme	nts
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub- INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	mitted. Note the attached Exves reason(s) why the oath	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE or declaration is deficient.	OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	ust be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	r's Amendment / Comment	or in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in			f
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MA ^T FOR THE DEPOSIT OF B	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the OLOGICAL MATERIAL.	,
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No./Mail Date 4, 5, and 15 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview : Paper No /08), 7. ☐ Examiner	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/364,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment B filed 1/20/04 and Declaration filed 1/20/04.

Response to Amendment

2. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 1/20/04 is sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 36 based upon the clarification that the selected text, not the implicit training module, comprises the features of "having an assigned priority" and "comprising new training messages to the text classifier". The declaration has also clarified the derivation of the term "assigned priority" as pointed out in the specification.

In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Interference, please see the "Interference" below.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's arguments and declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 have overcome the U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. The two features of "having an assigned priority" and "comprising new training messages to the text classifier" are understood to be directed to the "selected text", not the "implicit training module" as indicated by a comma separating the phrase, "the selected text having an assigned priority and comprising new training messages to the text classifier" from the other portions of the claim limitations. The term "assigned priority" is being interpreted as being assigned by the

Art Unit: 2176

implicit training module as specified in the specifications on pages 16-17 of the specification.

As there are no prior art rejections pending in the case and Applicant has overcome the 112, 2nd paragraph rejections, the pending claim is allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Interference

4. Claim 36 of this application is asserted by applicant to correspond to claim(s) of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,277 B1.

The examiner does not consider this claim to be directed to the same invention as that of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,277 B1 because of the following reasons:

As stated in the previous office action, Examiner notes that Nelken's "task" differs from Applicant's "text" since a task incorporates any action that can be performed by the agent or electronic system (see Nelken, column 1). While a task can incorporate a piece of data such as a text, it is also able to incorporate the action of choosing a message which the Applicant's claimed "text" cannot do. In response to Applicant's argument and Declaration that Nelken has extended his definition by stating that a task "may be a piece of data that must be acted on in some fashion"; however, the actual use of the term, "task", within the Nelken patent is limited to text to be prioritized, Examiner disagrees. Applicant points out column 1, lines 53-57 and Col. 4, lines 24-26

Art Unit: 2176

and lines 38-40 to show how the prioritization system of Nelken has a task parser that includes a natural language processor for analyzing the content of text. Applicant further points out that Nelken's patent are directed to text-based communications and not actions and any "tasks" are treated within the Nelken patent as being a species of text-based communications. Examiner points to Column 4, lines 30-35 in which Nelken teaches that a "task" parser may include a voice communication processor that analyzes tasks received via a voice-based channel, where the voice tasks were NOT converted to text by the contact center. The voice communication processor may be configured to detect emotional content of a voice task as well as to parse the task into concepts. Emotional content such as stress or anger may correspond to priority criteria that indicate a high priority. Thus Nelken not only takes into account text communications but other types of communications including that of voice without converting it into some form of a text-based communication. See column 4, lines 30-35. In light of these comments, Examiner is not convinced that the present invention renders the Nelken patent as being obvious.

Applicant's arguments and declaration argue that a "task queue" and storage media are the "same" based on the standard of obviousness, in that a queue does not indicate the presence of a software algorithm any more than does a storage media. Examiner points out that a queue is a priority system in which the tasks are lined up in light of other "tasks" or "jobs". As stated in the previous office action, a task queue does indicate the presence of some software algorithm that works in conjunction with the operating system. A queue uses a data structure (FIFO (first-in first-out)) to sequence

that could be present in the storage media.

Art Unit: 2176

multiple demands for a resource. Queues are used in operating systems and involve placing something on the queue and taking it off and processing it; whereas, a storage media is a device into which data can be entered, held, and retrieved at a later time. The two vary in that a queue involves a data structure to sequence demands. A storage media simply places and holds data for retrieval later on and does not involve the process that a queue utilizes. Moreover, the Applicant's claimed storage media stores "text" in order of its priority and does not take into account other "tasks" or "jobs" existing in the queue. Nelken's "task queue" is different than the Applicant's "storage media configured to store text in order of priority" because the storage media does not take into account other tasks that may not be text-based communications. In other words, Applicant's "storage media" is limited to the priorities of text regardless of other tasks

Thus Examiner believes that the two-way obviousness test fails in considering the features of Nelken's claim 1 and claim 36 of the current invention. However, the Examiner states that the inventions would be separately patentable. Accordingly, an interference cannot be initiated based upon this claim.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 703.305.1952. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 703.305.9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS 2/13/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER